



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/624,774	07/22/2003	Christian Luetkemeyer	13455US03	13455US03 6779		
23446	7590 10/06/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	EWS HELD & MALL	BAKER, STEPHEN M				
500 WEST N SUITE 3400	MADISON STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
CHICAGO,		2133				
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004	4		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



*	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application N	O.	Applicant(s)				
		10/624,774		LUETKEMEYER, CHRISTIAN				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
ą.	,	Stephen M. Ba	okor	2133				
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	<u> </u>		<u></u>	dress			
Period fo					`			
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI Insions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are departed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, he nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory atutory period will apply and will exp will, by statute, cause the application	owever, may a reply be tir minimum of thirty (30) day ire SIX (6) MONTHS from in to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely I the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the at 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from consid						
	ion Papers				,			
·	The specification is objected to by the							
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		•					
	Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including		•		:D 4 101(d)			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office actions.	documents have been re documents have been re of the priority documents nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17	ceived. ceived in Applicati have been receive (.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National :	Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice 3) Information Pape	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date	TO-948) PTO/SB/08) 5) [Paper No(s)/Mail D		D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/624,774

Art Unit: 2133

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-42 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-35 of U.S. Patent No. 6,498,205. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because: present claims 1-42 merely claim the same subject matter as recited by claims 1-35 of the patent, in a re-hashed form. Present claims 1, 2 and 9 in combination correspond to claim 1 of the patent, present claims 5-8 respectively corresponds to claims 3-6 of the patent, present claim 10 corresponds to claim 8 of the patent, present claims 11-13 and 19 in combination correspond to claim 9 of the patent, present claim 14 corresponds to claim 10 of the patent, present claim 15 corresponds to claim 13 of the patent, present claim 16 corresponds to claim 14 of the patent, present claim 17 corresponds to claim 10 of the patent, present claim 18

Application/Control Number: 10/624,774

Art Unit: 2133

corresponds to claim 12 of the patent, present claims 20 and 21 in combination correspond to claim 15 of the patent, present claim 22 corresponds to claim 17 of the patent, present claim 23 corresponds to claim 19 of the patent, present claim 24 corresponds to claim 20 of the patent, present claim 25 corresponds to claim 24 of the patent, present claim 26 corresponds to claim 25 of the patent, present claim 27 corresponds to claim 22 of the patent, present claim 28 corresponds to claim 23 of the patent, present claim 29 corresponds to claim 25 of the patent, present claim 30 corresponds to claim 26 of the patent, present claims 31-33 respectively correspond to claims 29-31 of the patent, present claim 34 corresponds to claim 27 of the patent, present claim 35 corresponds to claim 28 of the patent, present claims 36 and 39 in combination correspond to claim 32 of the patent, present claim 40 corresponds to claim 33 of the patent, present claim 41 corresponds to claim 34 of the patent, present claims 37 and 38 correspond to the method carried out by the apparatus of claims 15 and 22 in combination, with a "most likely symbol" self-evidently being a "potential symbol", present claim 42 corresponds to claim 35 of the patent.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Baker whose telephone number is (703)

Application/Control Number: 10/624,774

Art Unit: 2133

305-9681. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (11:00 AM - 7:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert DeCady can be reached on (703) 305-9595. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen M. Baker Primary Examiner Art Unit 2133

smb